HSIS

² Technical Overview of the OASIS

Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V1.1

5 Draft 03, 9 March 2004

6 Document identifier:

sstc-saml-tech-overview-1.1-03

8 Location:

7

9

http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/documents.php?wg_abbrev=security

10 Editors:

- 11 John Hughes, Entegrity Solutions (john.hughes@entegrity.com)
- 12 Eve Maler, Sun Microsystems (eve.maler@sun.com)

13 Abstract:

- The Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) standard defines a framework for exchanging security information between online business partners. It was developed by the Security Services Technical Committee (SSTC) of the standards organization OASIS (the Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards). This document provides a technical
- for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards). This dc
 description of SAML V1.1.

19 Status:

- This is a non-normative document; readers should refer to the normative specification suite for precise information concerning SAML V1.1. This document is not currently on an OASIS Standard track. It has been produced by the Security Services Technical Committee. Publication of this draft does not imply TC endorsement. This working draft may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted at any time.
- Committee members should submit comments to the security-services@lists.oasis-open.org list.
 Others should submit comments by filling out the form at http://www.oasis-
- open.org/committees/comments/form.php?wg_abbrev=security. The committee will publish
- vetted errata on the Security Services TC web page (http://www.oasis-
- 29 open.org/committees/security/).
- 30 For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to
- implementing the SAML specification suite, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer
 to the Intellectual Property Rights web page for the Security Services TC (http://www.oasis open.org/committees/security/ipr.php).
- 34

Table of Contents

36	1 Introduction	3
37	2 SAML Overview	4
38	3 SAML Architecture	6
39	3.1 SAML Concepts	6
40	3.2 SAML Structure and Examples	7
41	3.3 Security of SAML	9
42	4 Use Cases and Profiles	10
43	4.1 Browser/Artifact Profile	10
44	4.1.1 Detailed Processing for the Local-Site-First Scenario	11
45	4.1.2 Detailed Processing for the Destination-Site-First Scenario	12
46	4.2 Browser/POST Profile	13
47	4.2.1 Detailed Processing	14
48	5 Documentation Roadmap	16
49		

50 1 Introduction

51 The Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) standard defines a framework for exchanging security 52 information between online business partners.

53 More precisely, SAML defines a common XML framework for exchanging security assertions between 54 entities. As stated in the SSTC charter, the purpose of the Technical Committee is:

55 ...to define, enhance, and maintain a standard XML-based framework for creating and 56 exchanging authentication and authorization information.

57 SAML is different from other security systems due to its approach of expressing assertions about a

subject that other applications within a network can trust. What does this mean? To understand the

answer, you need to know the following two concepts used within SAML:

60 Asserting party

The system, or administrative domain, that asserts information about a subject. For instance, the

asserting party asserts that this user has been authenticated and has given associated attributes.

63 For example: This user is *John Doe*, he has an email address of *john.doe@acompany.com*, and

64 he was authenticated into this system using a *password* mechanism. In SAML, asserting parties are 65 also known as SAML authorities.

66 Relying party

The system, or administrative domain, that relies on information supplied to it by the asserting party.

It is up to the relying party as to whether it trusts the assertions provided to it. SAML defines a

number of mechanisms that enable the relying party to trust the assertions provided to it. It should

⁷⁰ be noted that although a relying party can trust the assertions provided to it, local access policy

defines whether the subject may access local resources. Therefore, although the relying party trusts

that I'm **John Doe** – it doesn't mean I'm given carte blanche access to all resources.

73 2 SAML Overview

74 Why is SAML needed? The SSTC developed a number of use cases to drive SAML's requirements. For

75 SAML 1.x, the most important of these use cases described a SAML-based solution to the problem of

76 Web Single Sign-On (SSO). Web SSO allows users to gain access to website resources in multiple

domains without having to re-authenticate after initially logging in to the first domain. To achieve SSO, the domains need to form a trust relationship before they can share an understanding of the user's

- identity that allows the necessary access. Figure 1 illustrates the high-level Web SSO use case; more
- details about how this is achieved are provided later in the document.





82 Following are some specific scenarios to which SAML's SSO capabilities are relevant:

83 • Government Portal

A Government department has implemented a centralized portal system. Linked to the portal system are a number of satellite systems. The central portal system maintains the authentication information for all users; however, the satellite systems use a wide range of access management products from a variety of vendors. Users should only be required to be authenticated once, and they can either go initially to the satellite system or the central portal. In this scenario the portal is the asserting party for the whole system and the satellite systems are the relying parties.

90 • Travel Bookings

Authenticated users of Company.com need to gain access to protected resources at Travel.com in order to make travel arrangements. The Company.com users should not need to have to reauthenticate to Travel.com. In addition, only certain privileged users (for example, above a certain job grade) may book international travel.

95 • Goods Purchasing

Authenticated users of Company.com use an internal purchasing system to place orders for office supplies from Supplier.com. Supplier.com needs to know the user and their shipping address.

- Supplier.com also needs to know whether the user is authorized to purchase goods of that value.
- 99 The following technical factors drove an urgent need for SAML when it was first created:

- Limitations of browser cookies: Before SAML, most SSO products used browser cookies to maintain state so that re-authentication is not required. Browser cookies are not transferred between DNS domains. So, if you obtain a cookie from www.abc.com, then that cookie will not be sent in any HTTP messages to www.xyz.com. This could even apply within an organization that has separate DNS domains. Therefore, to solve the cross-domain SSO problem requires the application of a different approach.
- SSO interoperability: Products had implemented cross-domain SSO in completely proprietary ways,
 meaning that organizations that want to perform cross-domain SSO had to use the same SSO product
 in all the domains, whether within one organization or across trading partners.
- Web services: There is an increasing trend towards inter-organizational distributed computing. Many
- standards have emerged that facilitate this trend, in particular web services based applications.
 However, there has been no standard way to convey security attributes associated with inter-
- 112 organizational communications.
- 113 When SAML V2.0 is released in 2004, additional use cases will be supported. To find out more about the 114 scope and design of SAML V2.0, visit the SSTC home page at http://www.oasis-
- open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=security and review the SAML V2.0 Scope/Work Items

116 document.

3 SAML Architecture

The SAML technology is rooted in XML. The information passed around between asserting parties (SAML authorities) and relying parties is mostly in the form of XML, and the format of these XML messages and assertions is defined in a pair of SAML XML schemas.

121 3.1 SAML Concepts

- 122 SAML has the following key concepts:
- Assertions: An assertion is a package of information that supplies one or more statements made by a SAML authority. SAML defines three kinds of statements that can be carried within an assertion. Authentication statements say "This subject was authenticated by this means at this time." Attribute statements provide specific details about the subject (for example, that a user holds "Gold" status). Authorization decision statements identify what the subject is entitled to do (for example, whether a user is permitted to buy a specified item). The XML format for assertions and their allowable extensions is defined in an XML schema.
- Protocol: SAML defines a request/response protocol for obtaining assertions. A SAML request can
 either ask for a specific known assertion or make authentication, attribute, and authorization
 decision queries, with the SAML response providing back the requested assertions. The XML
 format for protocol messages and their allowable extensions is defined in an XML schema.
- Bindings: A binding details exactly how the SAML protocol maps onto transport and messaging
 protocols. For instance, the SAML specification provides a binding of how SAML
 request/responses are carried within SOAP exchange messages over HTTP.
- Profiles: Profiles are technical descriptions of particular flows of assertions and protocol messages
 that define how SAML can be used for a particular purpose. They are derived from use cases. Use
 cases and profiles are discussed later on in the document.
- Figure 2 shows the relationship between these components.
- 141





143

3.2 SAML Structure and Examples

The sole binding specified in SAML V1.1 is the "SOAP-over HTTP" binding. Figure 3 illustrates the
 relationship between SOAP and the SAML protocol messages being transported within the SOAP body.



Figure 3: SOAP over HTTP Binding

- SAML responses carry assertions that satisfy the parameters of the SAML request. Figure 4 illustrates a
 SAML response being transported within a SOAP body. Note the following characteristics:
- The SAML response contains SAML status information in addition to one or more assertions.
- One more assertions can be transported, although typically only a single assertion is provided in a SAML response.
- An assertion consists of one or more statements. For SSO, typically a SAML assertion will contain a single authentication statement and possibly a single attribute statement.



Figure 4: SAML Response Structure

- 155 So what does the XML look like? Figure 5 shows an example of a SAML request being transported within
- a SOAP message. In this example, a SAML assertion is being requested pertaining to a supplied artifact.
- 157 The use of the artifact is explained later in the Use Case and Profiles section. The SAML request has

158 been highlighted.

159	<env:envelope< th=""></env:envelope<>			
160	xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap/envelope/">			
161	<pre><env:body></env:body></pre>			
162	<pre><samlp:request< pre=""></samlp:request<></pre>			
163	xmlns:samlp="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:protocol"			
164	xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:assertion"			
165	MajorVersion="1"			
166	MinorVersion="1"			
167	RequestID=" 192.168.16.51.1024506224022"			
168	IssueInstant="2002-06-19T17:03:44.022Z">			
169	<pre><samlp:assertionartifact></samlp:assertionartifact></pre>			
170	AAGZE1RNQJEFzYNCGAGPjWvtDIRSZ4			
171	lWDqBphqAEYkgG/RBdHoeMsulf			
172	<pre></pre>			
173	<pre></pre>			
174	<pre></pre>			
175				

Figure 5: SAML Artifact Request

Figure 6 shows how a SAML response is embedded within a SOAP message. The SAML response provides details as to the version of SAML being used and what request it is responding to. The ResponseID, InResponseTo, version numbers, IssueInstant and the status code represent the SAML response header. Within the response is the SAML assertion and typically one or more statements. The SAML response has been highlighted.

181	<pre><env:envelope< pre=""></env:envelope<></pre>
182	xmlns:env="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/">
183	<pre><env:body></env:body></pre>
184	<pre><samlp:response< pre=""></samlp:response<></pre>
185	<pre>xmlns:samlp="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:protocol"</pre>
186	ResponseID="P1YaA+Q/wSM/t/8E3R8rNhcpPTM="
187	InResponseTo=" 192.168.16.51.1024506224022"
188	MajorVersion="1"
189	MinorVersion="1"
190	<pre>IssueInstant="2002-06-19T17:05:37.795Z"></pre>
191	<pre><samlp:status></samlp:status></pre>
192	<samlp:statuscode value="samlp:Success"></samlp:statuscode>
193	<pre></pre>
194	



Figure 7: SAML Assertion

231 3.3 Security of SAML

Just providing assertions from an asserting party to a relying party may not be adequate for a secure 232 system. How does the relying party trust what is being asserted to it? In addition, what prevents a "man-233 in-the-middle" attack that grabs assertions to be illicitly "replayed" at a later date? SAML defines a 234 number of security mechanisms that prevent or detect such attacks. The primary mechanism is for the 235 relying party and asserting party to have a pre-existing trust relationship, typically involving a Public Key 236 Infrastructure (PKI). Whilst use of a PKI is not mandated, it is recommended. Use of particular 237 mechanisms is described for each profile; however, an overview of what is recommended is provided 238 239 helow.

- Where *message integrity* and *message confidentiality* are required, then HTTP over SSL 3.0 or TLS 1.0 is recommended.
- When a relying party requests an assertion from an asserting party then *bi-lateral authentication* is required and the use of SSL 3.0 or TLS 1.0 using server *and* client authentication are recommended.
- When an assertion is "pushed" to a relying party (as with the Browser/POST profile), then it is mandated that the response message be *digitally signed* using the XML digital signature standard.

4 Use Cases and Profiles

Early in its business requirements analysis, the SSTC defined a number of use cases for SAML. To date, only the Web SSO use case has been profiled. With the emergence of SAML V2.0 in 2004, a number of other use cases will also be profiled.

- 250 SAML V1.1 has defined Web SSO two profiles. Theses profiles assume:
- Use of a standard commercial web browser using either HTTP or HTTPS
- 252 The user has authenticated to the local source site
- The assertion's subject refers implicitly to the user that has been authenticated
- The profiles are:
- Browser/Artifact Profile: This represents a "pull model". A special form of reference to the
 authentication assertion (called an artifact) is sent to the relying party, which can using this reference
 to obtain (or pull) the assertion from the Asserting Party.
- **Browser/POST Profile:** This represents a "push model". An assertion is POSTed (using the HTTP POST command) directly to the relying party.
- 260 We shall now go on to describe in detail each of these profiles.

261 4.1 Browser/Artifact Profile

This Browser/Artifact profile is based on a pull model. Figure 8 illustrates the overall processing.



Figure 8: Browser/Artifact Profile Overview

- In summary, the processing is as follows:
- 1. A user has an authenticated session on the local source site.
- 266 2. The user wants to access a resource on the remote web site and is directed there. In the HTTP 267 message, an HTTP query variable is passed called an *artifact*. The artifact is a base-64 encoded
- string. It consists of a unique identity of the source site (called the Source ID) and a unique reference
- to the assertion (called the AssertionHandle). The artifact therefore enables the remote web site to

- reference an assertion on a given web site.
- The remote site needs to determine the identity and entitlements of the user and sends a SAML
 request, containing the artifact, to the local site (the asserting party) asking it what it can assert about
 the user. The assertions are transferred back in a SAML response.
- 4. The remote site then can make whatever authentication and authorization decisions it needs to,based on the received assertion(s).
- Two scenarios are possible in this use case:

287

- **Local-site-first:** The user visits their local site first and is authenticated at the local site before using a click-through link to gain access to the destination site.
- Destination-site-first: The user visits the destination site first; however, they need to be
 authenticated at the local (source) site prior to being granted access to resources on the destination
 site. This scenario typically represents a centralized portal architecture.

282 4.1.1 Detailed Processing for the Local-Site-First Scenario

The following figure shows the processing and message flows for the Browser/Artifact profile in the Local-Site-First scenario. In this example, the local web site includes a component called an Inter-site

Local-Site-First scenario. In this example, the local web site includes a component called an Inter-site Transfer Service (ITS). This is an addressable component that provides a point of functionality for SAML processing such as artifact and redirect generation.



Figure 9: Browser/Artifact Profile - Detailed Processing

- 288 The processing is as follows:
- 1. The user accesses the local web site (www.abc.com).
- 290 2. The local web site performs an access check and determines that the user does not have a current 291 session and requires the user to be authenticated. As a result, the user is challenged to authenticate.
- 3. The user supplies back credentials, for instance username and password.

- 4. If the authentication is successful, then a session is created for the user and the appropriate welcome screen of the Portal application is displayed to the user.
- 5. The user selects a menu option (or function) on the displayed screen that means the user wants to
 access a resource or application on a remote web site www.xyz.com (although, of course, the user
 may not be made aware of this).
- 6. The portal application then directs the request to the local Inter-site Transfer Service (in this example, hosted on the same web site). The request contains the URL of the resource on the remote site. This is known as the TARGET URL. For instance, the portal application will issue an HTTP GET to the Inter-site Transfer Service on the www.abc.com site which is listening on port 8002. The URL would look something like the following (without the URL encoding):
- 303 https://www.abc.com:8002/InterSiteTransfer?TARGET=http://www.xyz.com/index.asp
- The Inter-site Transfer Service generates an assertion for the user while also creating an artifact (The Asserting Party). The artifact contains the source ID of the www.abc.com SAML responder together with a reference to the assertion (the AssertionHandle). The Inter-site Transfer Service then sends back an HTTP redirection response to the browser, with the HTTP location header containing the URL of the Artifact Receiver service, the TARGET URL, and the artifact. On processing the redirect, the Browser will issue an HTTP GET of the form provided below, where the <artifact> is a base 64 encoded number. This will be sent to the server hosting the TARGET URL.
- 311 https://www.xyz.com:7001/ArtifactConsumer?TARGET=http://www.xyz.com/index.asp&SAMLart=<artifact>
- 8. On receiving the HTTP message, the Artifact Receiver, on the remote web site, extracts the sourceID. A mapping between source IDs and remote Responders will already have been established
 administratively. The Artifact Receiver will therefore know that it has to contact the www.abc.com
 SAML responder at the prescribed URL. The www.xyz.com Artifact Receiver will send a SAML
 request to the www.abc.com SAML responder containing the artifact supplied by the Inter-site
 Transfer Service of www.abc.com.
- The www.abc.com SAML responder supplies back a SAML response message containing the
 assertion generated during step 7. In most implementations, if a valid assertion is received back, then
 a session on www.xyz.com is established for the user (the relying party) at this point.
- 10. The Artifact Receiver, on the remote web site, sends a redirection message containing a cookie back
 to the browser. The cookie identifies the session. The browser then processes the redirect message
 and issues a HTTP GET to the TARGET resource on www.xyz.com. The GET message contains the
 cookie supplied back by the Artifact Receiver. An access check is then back to established whether
 the user has the correct authorization to access the www.xyz.com web site and the index.asp
 resource.

4.1.2 Detailed Processing for the Destination-Site-First Scenario

- In a number of use case scenarios the user may not initially access the asserting party. For instance, in the case of a centralized portal system, a user may first access a satellite system but is required to be authenticated centrally. This is known as "Destination-Site-First". The processing is a variant of the previous use case and is as follows:
- 1. The user accesses the remote web site (www.xyz.com).
- The local web site performs an access check and determines that the user must be authenticated by
 the central site. A redirection is issued to the central site. Typically, this redirection is to the central
 site's Inter-site Transfer Service.
- 336 3. The central site (the asserting party) challenges the user.
- 4. The user supplies back credentials, for instance username and password.
- 5. The portal application then directs the request to the local Inter-site Transfer Service (in this example, hosted on the same web site). The request contains the URL of the resource on the remote site originally requested.
- 341 6. The Inter-site Transfer Service generates an assertion for the user while also creating an artifact. The
 342 artifact contains the source ID of the www.abc.com SAML responder together with a reference to the

- assertion (the AssertionHandle). The Inter-site Transfer Service then sends back an HTTP redirection
 response to the browser, with the HTTP location header containing the URL of the Artifact Receiver
 service, the TARGET URL, and the artifact.
- 7. On receiving the HTTP message, the Artifact Receiver sends a SAML request to the www.abc.com
 SAML responder containing the artifact supplied by the Inter-site Transfer service of www.abc.com.
- The www.abc.com SAML responder supplies back a SAML response message containing the
 assertion generated during step 7.
- The Artifact Receiver, on the remote web site, sends a redirection message containing a cookie back
 to the browser. The cookie identifies the session. The Browser then processes the redirect message
 and issues a HTTP GET to the TARGET resource on www.xyz.com that was originally requested in
 step 1.
- Figure 10 illustrates the processing steps.
- 355



Figure 10: Browser/Artifact Profile - Destination-Site-First – Detailed Processing

357 4.2 Browser/POST Profile

This profile uses the push model and does not rely on an artifact. The processing, in summary, is as follows:

- A user has an authenticated session on the local source site (the asserting party).
- The user wants to access a resource on the remote web site. An HTML form is provided back to the
 browser from the local site. The form contains the assertion about the user. The form will also contain
 a button (or other type of trigger) that causes a POST of the assertion to the remote site to occur.
 This could also be in the form on JavaScript "auto-submit" action so that the user doesn't have to
 press a button.
- The remote site then can make whatever authentication and authorization decisions it needs to, based on the received assertion contained within the POST message.



The following detailed description describes a "local-site-first" use case; however, this profile can also work in a "destination-site-first" situation.

Figure 11 – Browser/POST Profile Overview

370 4.2.1 Detailed Processing

- 371 The processing is as follows:
- 1. The user accesses the local web site (www.abc.com)
- The local web site performs an access check and determines that the user does not have a current
 session and requires the user to be authenticated. As a result, the user is challenged to authenticate.
- 375 3. The user supplies back credentials, for instance username and password.
- 4. If the authentication is successful, then a session is created for the user and the appropriate welcome screen of the Portal application is displayed to the user.
- 5. The user selects a menu option (or function) on the displayed screen that means the user wants to access a resource or application on a remote web site www.xyz.com. The portal application then directs the request to the local Inter-site Transfer Service (in this example, hosted on the same web site). The request contains the URL of the resource on the remote site (the TARGET URL).
- The Inter-site Transfer Service sends a HTML form back to the browser. The HTLM FORM contains
 a SAML response, within which is a SAML assertion. The SAML specifications mandate that the
 response must be digitally signed. Typically the HTML FORM will contain an input or submit action
 that will result in a HTTP POST.
- The browser user will cause a HTTP POST containing the SAML response to be sent to the
 destination's (relying party) Assertion Consumer service.
- The replying party's Assertion Consumer validates the digital signature on the SAML Response, if this validates it the sends a redirect to the browser causing it to access the TARGET resource. An access check is then made to establish whether the user has the correct authorization to access the www.xyz.com web site and the TARGET resource. The TARGET resource is the returned to the browser.
- 393
- 394



Figure 12: Browser/POST Profile – Detailed Processing

395 Documentation Roadmap

³⁹⁶ Following is the SAML V1.1 suite of specifications, approved and published on 2 September 2003.

Short Name	Document Identifier	Description
Assertions and Protocol (also known as the "core" spec)	oasis-sstc-saml-core-1.1	Defines the syntax and semantics for XML- encoded assertions about authentication, attributes and authorization, and for the protocol that conveys this information.
Assertion schema	oasis-sstc-saml-schema- assertion-1.1	The schema document governing the formal definition of SAML's XML-form assertions.
Protocol schema	oasis-sstc-saml-schema- protocol-1.1	The schema document governing the formal definition of SAML's XML-form request and response protocol messages.
Bindings and Profiles	oasis-sstc-saml-bindings-1.1	Defines protocol bindings and profiles for the use of SAML assertions and request-response messages in communications protocols and frameworks.
Security and Privacy Considerations	oasis-sstc-saml-sec- consider-1.1	Describes and analyzes the security and privacy properties of SAML. (Note that the Bindings and Profiles specification also contains some security information pertaining to each profile.)
Conformance Program Specification	oasis-sstc-saml-conform-1.1	Describes the program and technical requirements for SAML conformance.
Glossary	oasis-sstc-saml-glossary-1.1	Defines terms used throughout the SAML specifications and related documents.

397

The following are other documents related to SAML V1.1.

Short Name	Document Identifier	Description
Technical Overview	sstc-saml-tech-overview-1.1	This document. It provides an overview of basic SAML goals and concepts and the flows specified in the SAML profiles.
Differences from V1.0	sstc-saml-diff-1.1-draft-01	A description of the changes made to the SAML specifications from V1.0 to V1.1.
V1.1 Errata	sstc-saml-errata-1.1-draft-16	A list of problems and resolutions kept during the public review of the SAML V1.1 Committee Specifications. Note that this is not a list of errata on the final SAML V1.1 specifications. This is a historical document only.
V1.1 Issues	sstc-saml-1.1-issues-draft- 02	The list of issues from which the SSTC worked during the creation of SAML V1.1. This is a historical document only.

399

401

400 These documents can all be found at the public SAML home page:

http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=security

402 **A. Notices**

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that 403 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or 404 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it 405 represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with 406 respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights 407 made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an 408 attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by 409 410 implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this

413 specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

414 Copyright © OASIS Open 2004. All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that

comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published

and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright

notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document

itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS,
 except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for

except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for
 copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required

- to translate it into languages other than English.
- The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS

426 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY

427 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS

428 OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR

429 PURPOSE.