# Universities Report Highest-Ever R\&D Spending of \$65 Billion in FY 2011 

by Ronda Britt ${ }^{1}$

University spending on research and development in all fields continued to increase between FY 2010 and FY 2011, rising $6.3 \%$ from $\$ 61.2$ billion to $\$ 65.1$ billion, according to FY 2011 data from the National Science Foundation's Higher Education Research and Development (HERD) Survey (figure 1). When adjusted for inflation, higher
education R\&D rose by $4.3 \%$ in FY 2011. It should be noted that the survey population also increased from 742 universities in 2010 to 912 universities in 2011. These new units added \$533 million in total R\&D expenditures in FY 2011. (See "Data Sources, Limitations, and Availability" for more information.)

Once again, funding from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) was responsible for much of the increase, with ARRA-funded expenditures totaling $\$ 4.2$ billion in FY 2011. ARRA funding represented $10.2 \%$ of the federally funded R\&D expenditures for FY 2011. Including ARRA funding, the total federal

FIGURE 1. Higher education R\&D expenditures, by source of funds: FY 2010-11


ARRA = American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation/National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, Higher Education Research and Development Survey.
funding for higher education $R \& D$ rose to $\$ 40.8$ billion in FY 2011, or $62.6 \%$ of the $\$ 65.1$ billion total.

Among the nonfederal sources of funding, only nonprofit organizations and the academic institutions themselves contributed more in FY 2011 than in FY 2010 (figure 1). Institutionfunded R\&D rose by over $\$ 500$ million to $\$ 12.4$ billion, and nonprofit-funded R\&D increased by a more modest $\$ 104$ million to $\$ 3.8$ billion in FY 2011. Expenditures funded by state and local government, business, and other sources were virtually unchanged.

Unless otherwise indicated, references to dollar amounts or percentages in this InfoBrief are in current dollars.

## R\&D Expenditures by Field and Source of Funding

Life sciences continued to dominate among the 10 broad fields collected, growing $6.6 \%$ to $\$ 37.2$ billion in FY 2011 (table 1). The majority of the funding (\$20.4 billion) was spent within the subfield of medical sciences. Engineering was the next largest broad field and increased 7.7\% in FY 2011 to $\$ 10.0$ billion in reported R\&D expenditures. Social sciences grew by $2.7 \%$ in FY 2011 to $\$ 2.0$ billion, almost returning to its FY 2009 total after a decline in FY 2010. R\&D within non-science and engineering (non-S\&E) rose rapidly, showing a $10.5 \%$ increase from FY 2010 to $\$ 3.2$ billion.

The life sciences received the largest amount of funding from each of the sources, ranging from $66.8 \%$ of the funding provided by nonprofit organizations to just over half (51.6\%) of institution funds (table 2). Engineering was the second largest

TABLE 1. Higher education R\&D expenditures, by R\&D field: FY 2010-11 (Million of current dollars)

| Field | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | \% change <br> 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All R\&D fields | 61,191 | 65,073 | 6.3 |
| Science | 48,983 | 51,845 | 5.8 |
| Computer sciences | 1,637 | 1,735 | 6.0 |
| Environmental sciences | 2,992 | 3,167 | 5.8 |
| Atmospheric sciences | 429 | 481 | 12.1 |
| Earth sciences | 1,085 | 1,142 | 5.3 |
| Oceanography | 1,022 | 1,049 | 2.6 |
| Environmental sciences, nec | 456 | 495 | 8.6 |
| Life sciences | 34,924 | 37,232 | 6.6 |
| Agricultural sciences | 2,984 | 3,129 | 4.9 |
| Biological sciences | 10,917 | 11,802 | 8.1 |
| Medical sciences | 19,265 | 20,356 | 5.7 |
| Life sciences, nec | 1,758 | 1,945 | 10.6 |
| Mathematical sciences | 592 | 636 | 7.4 |
| Physical sciences | 4,619 | 4,779 | 3.5 |
| Astronomy | 573 | 582 | 1.6 |
| Chemistry | 1,748 | 1,786 | 2.2 |
| Physics | 1,996 | 2,109 | 5.7 |
| Physical sciences, nec | 302 | 303 | 0.3 |
| Psychology | 1,075 | 1,119 | 4.1 |
| Social sciences | 1,991 | 2,045 | 2.7 |
| Economics | 349 | 389 | 11.5 |
| Political sciences | 373 | 364 | -2.4 |
| Sociology | 418 | 425 | 1.7 |
| Social sciences, nec | 851 | 866 | 1.8 |
| Sciences, nec | 1,154 | 1,132 | -1.9 |
| Engineering | 9,327 | 10,045 | 7.7 |
| Aeronautical/astronautical engineering | 635 | 672 | 5.8 |
| Bioengineering/biomedical engineering | 744 | 815 | 9.5 |
| Chemical engineering | 821 | 927 | 12.9 |
| Civil engineering | 1,107 | 1,211 | 9.4 |
| Electrical engineering | 2,053 | 2,209 | 7.6 |
| Mechanical engineering | 1,461 | 1,556 | 6.5 |
| Metallurgical/materials engineering | 704 | 738 | 4.8 |
| Engineering, nec | 1,802 | 1,918 | 6.4 |
| Non-science and engineering | 2,880 | 3,183 | 10.5 |
| Business and management | 365 | 395 | 8.2 |
| Communications, journalism, and library science | 129 | 148 | 14.7 |
| Education | 991 | 1,104 | 11.4 |
| Humanities | 258 | 296 | 14.7 |
| Law | 96 | 119 | 24.0 |
| Social work | 177 | 191 | 7.9 |
| Visual and performing arts | 64 | 73 | 14.1 |
| Non-science and engineering, nec | 799 | 857 | 7.3 |
| nec $=$ not elsewhere classified. |  |  |  |

TABLE 2. Higher education R\&D expenditures, by source of funds and R\&D field: FY 2011
(Millions of current dollars)
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccrrrr}\hline \text { Field } & \begin{array}{c}\text { All R\&D } \\ \text { expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Federal } \\ \text { government }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { State and local } \\ \text { government }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Institution } \\ \text { funds }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nonprofit } \\ \text { Business }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { All other } \\ \text { organizations }\end{array} \\ \text { sources }\end{array}\right]$
nec $=$ not elsewhere classified .
NOTES: Because of rounding, detail may not add to total. Not all subfields reported in this table.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation/National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, Higher Education Research and Development Survey, FY 2011.
field across all sources, ranging from $8.8 \%$ of the funding from nonprofit organizations to $25.9 \%$ of businessfunded expenditures. The remaining fields were funded in relatively equal proportions by the various sources, with the exception of institutional funding of non-S\&E R\&D. Reflecting the relatively fewer sources of external support for R\&D in such fields, institutions themselves contributed $11.2 \%$ of their total funding to projects within non-S\&E fields, surpassing the federal government's contribution for non-S\&E R\&D projects. ${ }^{2}$

## R\&D Spending for Top 30 Performers

Of the 912 institutions surveyed, the top 30 in terms of $R \& D$ expenditures in all fields accounted for $40.1 \%$ of total academic R\&D spending (table 3). There were only two changes to the top 30 between FY 2010 and FY 2011. The University of Southern California moved from number 28 to 31, and Harvard University entered the top

30 at number 27. Six institutions now report over $\$ 1$ billion each in R\&D spending, up from four in FY 2010.

Among the top 30 , the percentage of R\&D funds provided by the federal government, including ARRA funding, varied dramatically: from $35.6 \%$ of the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center total to $87.8 \%$ of the total for the Johns Hopkins University, including its Applied Physics Laboratory. The percentage of total R\&D funded by ARRA also varied, ranging from $2.1 \%$ of Texas A\&M University's total to $13.8 \%$ of the University of Washington Seattle's total.

## ARRA Funding by State

On average, ARRA funds contributed $6.4 \%$ of the total spent on higher education R\&D within a state. The state with the highest proportion was Maine, with $13.3 \%$ of its $\$ 140$ million total funded by ARRA. Vermont ( $11.4 \%$ ) and Washington ( $11.3 \%$ ) were also well above the national average. North Dakota (2.0\%),

Mississippi (2.1\%), and South Dakota (2.7\%) had the lowest proportions of ARRA funding for higher education R\&D (figure 2).

## R\&D Expenditures within Medical Schools

In FY 2010, the redesigned HERD Survey began capturing the amount of R\&D spending that occurred within an institution's medical school. This detail allows institutions with and without medical schools to more accurately compare themselves with peer institutions, and it provides a national total for R\&D spending within medical schools. ${ }^{3}$

Of the $\$ 65.1$ billion total, $35.5 \%$ ( $\$ 23.1$ billion) was spent within medical schools. Duke University reported the largest amount of medical school R\&D in FY 2011 ( $\$ 831$ million, or $81.3 \%$ of their FY 2011 total). Of the top 10 institutions, six reported medical school R\&D spending that accounted for more than $70 \%$ of their total R\&D expenditures (table 4).

TABLE 3. Thirty institutions reporting the largest FY 2011 R\&D expenditures in all fields, by source of funds: FY 2011 (Millions of current dollars)

| Rank | Institution | All R\&D expenditures | Federal government (non-ARRA) | Federal government (ARRA) | State and local government | Institution funds | Business | Nonprofit organizations | All other sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All institutions | 65,073 | 36,605 | 4,160 | 3,819 | 12,445 | 3,162 | 3,840 | 1,042 |
|  | Leading 30 institutions | 26,086 | 15,059 | 1,767 | 1,305 | 3,959 | 1,527 | 1,912 | 553 |
| 1 | Johns Hopkins U. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,145 | 1,801 | 83 | 8 | 78 | 59 | 104 | 12 |
| 2 | U. MI, Ann Arbor | 1,279 | 707 | 113 | 2 | 363 | 40 | 47 | 7 |
| 3 | U. WA, Seattle | 1,149 | 790 | 159 | 20 | 57 | 21 | 76 | 25 |
| 4 | U. WI, Madison | 1,112 | 542 | 52 | 103 | 220 | 28 | 125 | 42 |
| 5 | Duke U. | 1,022 | 511 | 74 | 32 | 120 | 215 | 69 | 1 |
| 6 | U. CA, San Diego | 1,009 | 583 | 54 | 42 | 99 | 67 | 112 | 53 |
| 7 | U. CA, San Francisco | 995 | 509 | 61 | 31 | 136 | 54 | 126 | 78 |
| 8 | U. CA, Los Angeles | 982 | 502 | 61 | 38 | 160 | 49 | 93 | 79 |
| 9 | Stanford U. | 908 | 573 | 83 | 39 | 72 | 58 | 81 | 2 |
| 10 | U. Pittsburgh, main campus | 899 | 590 | 73 | 8 | 196 | 12 | 21 | 0 |
| 11 | U. PA | 886 | 612 | 95 | 22 | 52 | 44 | 62 | 0 |
| 12 | Columbia U. in the City of New |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | York | 879 | 564 | 82 | 12 | 99 | 36 | 65 | 21 |
| 13 | U. MN, Twin Cities | 847 | 439 | 50 | 60 | 190 | 31 | 61 | 15 |
| 14 | OH State U. | 832 | 430 | 63 | 101 | 95 | 104 | 26 | 14 |
| 15 | PA State U., University Park, and Hershey Medical Ctr. | 795 | 438 | 31 | 56 | 161 | 65 | 40 | 4 |
| 16 | Cornell U. | 782 | 432 | 44 | 62 | 137 | 24 | 80 | 2 |
| 17 | U. NC, Chapel Hill | 767 | 494 | 68 | 6 | 122 | 26 | 51 | 0 |
| 18 | U. FL | 740 | 265 | 41 | 98 | 281 | 23 | 27 | 5 |
| 19 | Washington U., St. Louis | 725 | 414 | 55 | 19 | 103 | 42 | 49 | 42 |
| 20 | MA Institute of Technology | 724 | 441 | 48 | 0 | 17 | 110 | 73 | 34 |
| 21 | U. CA, Berkeley | 708 | 309 | 27 | 60 | 122 | 87 | 84 | 19 |
| 22 | U. CA, Davis | 708 | 324 | 39 | 60 | 146 | 37 | 66 | 36 |
| 23 | TXA\&M U. | 706 | 276 | 15 | 132 | 187 | 55 | 36 | 5 |
| 24 | U. TX M. D. Anderson Cancer Ctr. | 663 | 208 | 28 | 199 | 70 | 60 | 98 | 0 |
| 25 | Yale U. | 657 | 454 | 66 | 5 | 62 | 12 | 47 | 12 |
| 26 | GA Institute of Technology | 655 | 412 | 16 | 11 | 158 | 42 | 13 | 4 |
| 27 | Harvard U. | 650 | 470 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 68 | 10 |
| 28 | U. TX, Austin | 632 | 326 | 29 | 41 | 129 | 68 | 31 | 7 |
| 29 | Northwestern U. | 619 | 346 | 54 | 5 | 144 | 15 | 55 | NA |
| 30 | U. AZ | 611 | 298 | 30 | 31 | 183 | 17 | 26 | 24 |

$\overline{N A}=$ not available.
ARRA = American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Johns Hopkins University includes Applied Physics Laboratory, with $\$ 1,161$ million in total R\&D expenditures in FY 2011.
NOTES: Because of rounding, detail may not add to total. Institutions ranked are geographically separate campuses headed by a campus-level president or chancellor.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, Higher Education Research and Development Survey, FY 2011.

FIGURE 2. Higher education R\&D expenditures funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, by state: FY 2011


Percentage funded by ARRA

ARRA $=$ American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation/National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, Higher Education Research and Development Survey, FY 2011.

TABLE 4. Ten institutions reporting the largest FY 2011 medical school R\&D expenditures: FY 2011
(Millions of current dollars)

| Rank | Institution | Medical school R\&D <br> expenditures | Non-medical school R\&D <br> expenditures |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | All institutions | 23,115 | 41,958 |
| 1 | Duke U. | 831 | 191 |
| 2 | U. CA, San Francisco | 785 | 210 |
| 3 | Johns Hopkins U. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 646 | 1,499 |
| 4 | U. Pittsburgh, main campus | 638 | 261 |
| 5 | Washington U., St. Louis | 631 | 94 |
| 6 | U. PA | 629 | 257 |
| 7 | U. WA, Seattle | 603 | 546 |
| 8 | U. MI, Ann Arbor | 545 | 734 |
| 9 | Stanford U. | 536 | 372 |
| 10 | Yale U. | 489 | 168 |

[^0]
## Data Sources, Limitations, and Availability

The fiscal year referred to throughout this report is the academic fiscal year; for most institutions FY 2011 represents the period 1 July 2010 through 30 June 2011. The higher education R\&D expenditures data presented in this InfoBrief were obtained from 912 universities and colleges that grant bachelor's or higher degrees and expended at least $\$ 150,000$ in R\&D during the survey period. The survey population included an additional 170 institutions in FY 2011. This increase is due to the screening conducted in FY 2010 via the HERD Short Form Survey. For more details on the Short Form, see appendix D of the FY 2010 HERD
detailed statistical tables at http：／／www． nsf．gov／statistics／nsf12330／．

Although ARRA funding was awarded to institutions in federal FY 2009， much of the funding was for multi－ year projects．ARRA expenditures are expected to appear in HERD totals through academic FY 2013. The amounts reported include all funds expended for activities specifi－ cally organized to produce research outcomes and sponsored by an outside organization or separately budgeted using institution funds．R\＆D expendi－ tures at university－administered feder－ ally funded research and development centers（FFRDCs）are collected in a separate survey．Data from the FFRDC

R\＆D Survey are available at http：／／ www．nsf．gov／statistics／ffrdc／．

The full set of detailed tables from this survey will be available in the report Higher Education Research and Devel－ opment：Fiscal Year 2011 at http：／／ www．nsf．gov／statistics／rdexpenditures／． Individual detailed tables from the 2011 survey may be available in advance of publication of the full report．For further information，please contact the author．

## Notes

1．Ronda Britt，Research and Devel－ opment Statistics Program，National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics，National Science Founda－ tion， 4201 Wilson Boulevard，Suite

965，Arlington，VA 22230 （rbritt＠nsf． gov；703－292－7765）．

2．For more details on the specific fields within each of these broad field categories，see pages $10-15$ of the FY 2011 HERD Survey questionnaire， http：／／www．nsf．gov／statistics／srvyherd／ surveys／srvyherd＿2011．pdf．

3．This amount includes only the spending that is accounted for within the institution＇s accounting systems． R\＆D performed by faculty at outside hospitals or clinics is not included unless the R\＆D project award was administered by the faculty＇s home institution．


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Johns Hopkins University includes Applied Physics Laboratory, with $\$ 1,161$ million in total R\&D expenditures in FY 2011.

    SOURCE: National Science Foundation/National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, Higher Education Research and Development Survey, FY 2011.

