An Opinion: Alternatives for Development of Higher Education Software

|  | Kuali | An Alternative (J im F armer) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market | Suite including ERP | Higher education-specific non-ERP - the 46\% |
| Products | Major systems | Modules that can later be aggregated |
| Market Focus | General Ledger, other accounting and Research Administration | Student finance, Research Administration (via partnership) |
|  | Major research universities | Public and private 1,000 to 10,000 students and their operating consortia |
| Development | Integrated suite | "BBAY"Student-based academic year student information and student finance systems by module |
| Development approach | "Corporate enterprise" | S mall teams (e.g. 4-6) |
| Delivery package | Suite or major system | Module or combination |
| Software license | Open source | Limited or proprietary |
| Information Standards | Higher education | Industry + SIFA and PESC |
| Regulatory support | Sponsored research, GAAP accounting | Gainful employment (based on curriculum management), Student disclosure information using OnelU design, and institutional data presentation (see federal AP Is initiative) |
| Cloud availability | Internet 2 "Tailored cloud services" | Servicers using cloud-based multi-tenant applications |
| Training and support | User-based organizations | Red-Hat type support with Internet-based training |
| Analytics | unknown | Hadoop/R support for installed modules |
| Learning systems integration |  | Advanced Distributed Learning (Tin Can API) |

[^0]
[^0]:    Note: The Kuali responses were derived external to the organization from historical documents and observations of the development processes. It does not represent the official position of the Kuali Foundation or any of the participants. The alternative is based on "guerrilla marketing" when there are significantly fewer resources than established vendors have for development and marketing.

