

# Liberty ID-SIS Employee Profile Service Implementation Guidelines

Version: 1.1

#### **Editors:**

Sampo Kellomäki, Symlabs, Inc. Rob Lockhart, IEEE-ISTO

#### **Contributors:**

Carolina Canales-Valenzuela, Ericsson Ariel Gordon, France Télécom Vincent Guesdon, France Télécom Jukka Kainulainen, Nokia Corporation Lena Kannappan, France Télécom John Kemp, IEEE-ISTO Thomas Wason, IEEE-ISTO

#### Abstract:

The Liberty ID-SIS Employee Profile (ID-SIS-EP) is a web service. It offers profile information regarding employee. ID-SIS-EP provides basic employee information ID-SIS-EP is an instance of data-oriented identity web service. ID-SIS-EP is characterized by ability to query and update attribute data and incorporates from other specifications mechanisms for access control and conveying data validation information and usage directives.

Filename: liberty-idsis-ep-guidelines-v1.1.pdf

#### Notice

<sup>2</sup> This document has been prepared by Sponsors of the Liberty Alliance. Permission is hereby granted to use the

<sup>3</sup> document solely for the purpose of implementing the Specification. No rights are granted to prepare derivative works

4 of this Specification. Entities seeking permission to reproduce portions of this document for other uses must contact

5 the Liberty Alliance to determine whether an appropriate license for such use is available.

- 6 Implementation of certain elements of this document may require licenses under third party intellectual property
- 7 rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The Sponsors of and any other contributors to the Specification are
- <sup>8</sup> not, and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party
- <sup>9</sup> intellectual property rights. This Specification is provided "AS IS", and no participant in the Liberty Alliance
- makes any warranty of any kind, express or implied, including any implied warranties of merchantability,
- non-infringement of third party intellectual property rights, and fitness for a particular purpose. Implementors
- <sup>12</sup> of this Specification are advised to review the Liberty Alliance Project's website (http://www.projectliberty.org/) for
- <sup>13</sup> information concerning any Necessary Claims Disclosure Notices that have been received by the Liberty Alliance
- 14 Management Board.

1

- <sup>15</sup> Copyright © 2003-2005 ADAE; Adobe Systems; America Online, Inc.; American Express Company; Avatier
- <sup>16</sup> Corporation; Axalto; Bank of America Corporation; BIPAC; Computer Associates International, Inc.; DataPower
- 17 Technology, Inc.; Diversinet Corp.; Enosis Group LLC; Entrust, Inc.; Epok, Inc.; Ericsson; Fidelity Investments;
- <sup>18</sup> Forum Systems, Inc. ; France Telecom; Gamefederation; Gemplus; General Motors; Giesecke & Devrient GmbH;
- 19 Hewlett-Packard Company; IBM Corporation; Intel Corporation; Intuit Inc.; Kantega; Kayak Interactive; MasterCard
- <sup>20</sup> International; Mobile Telephone Networks (Pty) Ltd; NEC Corporation; Netegrity, Inc.; NeuStar, Inc.; Nippon
- <sup>21</sup> Telegraph and Telephone Corporation; Nokia Corporation; Novell, Inc.; NTT DoCoMo, Inc.; OpenNetwork; Oracle
- <sup>22</sup> Corporation; Ping Identity Corporation; Royal Mail Group plc; RSA Security Inc.; SAP AG; Senforce; Sharp
- 23 Laboratories of America; Sigaba; SmartTrust; Sony Corporation; Sun Microsystems, Inc.; Telefonica Moviles, S.A.;
- <sup>24</sup> Trusted Network Technologies.; Trustgenix; UTI; VeriSign, Inc.; Vodafone Group Plc. All rights reserved.
- 25 Liberty Alliance Project
- 26 Licensing Administrator
- 27 c/o IEEE-ISTO
- <sup>28</sup> 445 Hoes Lane
- <sup>29</sup> Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331, USA
- 30 info@projectliberty.org

### 31 Contents

32	1. Introduction	. 4
33	1.1. Document Audience	.4
34	1.2. Architectural Context of the ID-SIS-EP	. 4
35	1.3. XML Document Instantiation	. 4
36	1.4. Extension Mechanisms	. 4
37	2. Overview of the Employee Profile Data Model	. 6
38	2.1. EP	. 6
39	2.2. CorpCommonName	.7
40	2.3. CorpLegalIdentity	. 8
41	3. Security Considerations	11
42	4. Discovery and Queries	12
43	5. Processing Rule Rationale	13
44	6. Cultural Portability	14
45	References	15

### **1. Introduction**

The Employee Profile (EP, previously referred to as the Employee Identity Profile, EIP) is a Liberty identity service that supports identity information regarding the Principal when in her job function.

The present document provides rationale and guidance for implementers of Employee Profile. A companion document Liberty Employee Profile Service Specification, [LibertyIDEP], normatively describes the ID-SIS-EP. In case of

disagreement between present document and [LibertyIDEP] the latter is prescriptive.

### 1.1. Document Audience

This document is intended for application developers and implementers. The reader is presumed to be familiar with XML, SAML and SOAP. The reader should be familiar with the Liberty ID-FF Architectural Overview ([LibertyIDFFOverview]) and the Liberty D-WSF Web Services Framework Overview ([LibertyIDWSFOverview]). The [LibertyIDPPGuide] contains information of general application to Profiles.

### <sup>57</sup> 1.2. Architectural Context of the ID-SIS-EP

The ID-SIS-EP service is an instance of a data-oriented identity service. The data-oriented aspect means that the service aims to provide attribute data structured in containers. This same approach is used by some other Liberty services, such as ID-SIS-PP, and they all share the methods and general framework as described in [LibertyDST].

The identity services in general require that Principal be directly or abstractly present in all transactions involving his identity or data, e.g., data that the Principal has gathered about other people. Thus the services that consult the ID-SIS-EP service use Liberty architectural framework to prove that they are acting on behalf of the Principal or that the Principal has somehow consented to sharing the data, for example, by means of a standing order or subscription.

<sup>65</sup> The identity services are further described in [LibertyIDWSFOverview].

#### 66 1.2.1. ID-SIS-EP as an Interface

Although the essence of the ID-SIS-EP service is data expressed as attributes, it should be understood that the technical implementation is actually a process which handles data requests and computes responses. The fact that the services are dynamic allows many features such as flexible permission enforcement and supplying different data for same attributes to different service providers. Thus an implementation may choose to hold some of the attributes in a database while obtaining others on the fly or computing them. Please refer to [LibertyIDPPGuide] for a discussion on the nature of the interface.

73 1.2.2. Participants and Compliance Testing

<sup>74</sup> See the discussion of this topic in [LibertyIDPPGuide].

### **1.3. XML Document Instantiation**

<sup>76</sup> See [LibertyIDPPGuide] for a discussion of this topic.

### 77 **1.4. Extension Mechanisms**

- <sup>78</sup> ID-SIS-EP is designed to be extensible in six ways which are discussed in [LibertyIDPPGuide]:
- <sup>79</sup> 1. by adding more enumerator URIs to existing attributes,
- 2. by adding new attributes to existing containers,
- <sup>81</sup> 3. by creating new containers,

- 4. by creating new discovery option keywords (URIs),
- 5. by extending the supported subset of XPATH expressions, or
- <sup>84</sup> 6. by schema extension.

## **2.** Overview of the Employee Profile Data Model

### 86 **2.1. EP**

#### Table 1. Structure of the EP Data Model

Attribute	Example	Synopsis
EmployeeID	IT87T121	Employee ID internal to enterprise (e.g., payroll
		number)
AltEmployeeID	IT87T121	Alternate Employee ID internal to enterprise
DateOfHire	2002-04-29	Date of hiring
JobStartDate	2002-05-30	Job effective date
EmployeeStatus	urn:liberty:id-sis-ep:	Status of the employee
	employeeStatus:trial	
EmployeeType	urn:liberty:id-sis-ep:	Type of the employee
	employeeType:contractor	
InternalJobTitle	COO Special Operations	Job title that reflects actual function of the Prin-
		cipal
OU	Sales	Organizational unit, e.g., department where em-
		ployee works
CorpCommonName	(container)	Usual every day name of the company or em-
		ployer
CorpLegalIdentity	(container)	Official legal identification of the Principal
ManagerEmployeeID	IT87T121	Internal Employee ID if the Principal's Manager
SubalternateEmployeeID	IT87T121	Internal Employee ID if the Principal is a Man-
		ager

<sup>87</sup> 



88 89

Figure 1. Structure of the EP Data Model .

### **2.2. CorpCommonName**

91

 Table 2. CorpCommonName

Attribute	Example	Synopsis
CN	Mercnet Corp.	Organization's every day name in latin writing system
AltCN	Mercnet Enterprises	Additional every day names in latin writing system



92

93

Figure 2. Structure of CorpCommonName.

### 94 2.3. CorpLegalldentity

95

#### Table 3. CorpLegalIdentity

Attribute	Example	Synopsis
LegalName	Mercnet - Com_io e Servi_ na Inte	r-Full legal name of the company or employer
	net Lda.	
VAT	(container)	Fiscal identification number
AltID	(container)	Other identification number(s)



96

97

99

#### Figure 3. Structure of CorpLegalIdentity.

#### 98 2.3.1. VAT

		Table 4. VAT	
Attribute	Example	Synopsis	
IDValue	502677123	Identification number value	
IDType	urn:liberty:id-sis-pp:	Type of identification number stored in VAT or AltID at-	
	IDType:itcif	tribute	

#### 100 **2.3.2. AltID**

101	Table 5. AltID		
	Attribute	Example	Synopsis
	IDValue	502677123	Identification number value
	IDType	urn:liberty:id-sis-pp:	Type of identification number stored in VAT or AltID at-
		IDType:itcif	tribute

### **3. Security Considerations**

For the most part, ID-SIS-EP relies on standard privacy and security mechanisms provided by ID-FF and ID-WSF. Of these, the following are considered to be of paramount importance.

Ability to have several ID-SIS-EP service instances per principal so that a principal can have effective control about who holds which data about her and so that mere existence of some piece of data in one place does not imply that other pieces of data need to be kept in the same place. This is especially important considering that many principals are expected to want to maintain a certain separation between their work and private lives combined with the fact that employers are likely to mandate that the work-related profile be hosted on an attribute provider they control. The most important element in supporting several ID-SIS-EP service instances is the ID-WSF Discovery Service and especially its discovery option keyword registration feature.

- 2. Flexible permissions enforcement. It is important that Liberty recognizes that permissions enforcement will
   happen at all layers and is under control of the principal, even if, technically speaking, Liberty has framed
   permissions enforcement mechanisms as out of scope for the standardization effort.
- 3. Usage directives are a logical companion and, combined with digital signatures, provide the necessary audit trail
   and accountability so that abusers can be kept in check and a system can enjoy wide public confidence.
- 4. Solid architectural foundation so that the above-mentioned higher level mechanisms can be relied upon to work
   effectively. Solid foundation includes things like transport layer security, application of digital signatures to both
   requests and responses, as well as flawless crypto system and protocol design.
- <sup>120</sup> Most ID-SIS-EP specific privacy concerns can be addressed by properly configuring the permissions mechanisms.

Tight control needs to be maintained about who is allowed to see the various ID numbers that may be held in ID SIS-EP. The permissions need to take into consideration both the principal's preference and the legal obligations
 that may vary from jurisdiction.

- 124
   2. Tight control also needs to be applied to the principal's full legal name, date of birth, gender, and other attributes
   125
   that are customarily used for formal identification purposes.
- 3. Most services that request profile information have narrow scope and an administrator of the ID-SIS-EP provider
   should be able to determine what information can legitimately be needed for implementing a given service. The
   default permissions should take this into consideration so that information is only disclosed on a "need to know"
   basis rather than blanket disclosure.
- 4. Many pieces of information in the EmploymentInformation container may be of great interest, even outside a
   principal's job function. For example, JobStartDate may (adversely) affect an individual's private credit rating.
   Obviously, great care should be exercised in disclosing this type of information.
- 5. Some pieces of private life information may not be appropriate in working life. Again, permissions should reflect
   this.

### **4.** Discovery and Queries

<sup>136</sup> Issues relating to profiles discoveries and queries are discussed in [LibertyIDPPGuide] and will not be repeated here.

### <sup>137</sup> **5.** Processing Rule Rationale

<sup>138</sup> Note that [LibertyDST] requires multiple Modification elements to behave in a transactional fashion, i.e., either all

<sup>139</sup> Modification elements must either succeed or fail as a group. If an implementation has difficulty in guaranteeing

the transactional semantics, it may be better to only support one Modification element for which the transactional

141 semantics are trivial.

### **6. Cultural Portability**

An Internet environment is the underlying assumption for the systems designs; end users will venture to web sites outside their own culture and interact with other users and businesses in foreign countries. This calls for a common language. A large part of the world, but not the entire world, has standardized on the use of the Latin alphabet (character set) with some variations. A full discussion of issues relating to cultural portability is contained in [LibertyIDPPGuide].

The attributes that have parallel localized attributes in the Employee Profile, as designated with an "L" prefix are summarized below.

150

#### Table 6. Global and Localized elements

Attribute	Localized	Туре	Synopsis
CN	LCN	cis	Every day name in Latin writing system
AltCN	LAltCN	cis	Additional every day names in Latin writing sys-
			tem
CorpCommonName	LCorpCommonName	cis	Screen name of the Principal
LegalName	LLegalName	cis	Full legal name
InternalJobTitle	LInternalJobTitle	cis	Job title
OU	LOU	cis	Organizational Unit

# **References**

## **Informative**

- [LibertyIDFFOverview] Wason, Thomas, eds. "Liberty ID-FF Architecture Overview," Version 1.2-errata-v1.0,
   Liberty Alliance Project (12 September 2004). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs*
- [LibertyIDWSFOverview] Tourzan, Jonathan, Koga, Yuzo, eds. "Liberty ID-WSF Web Services Framework
   Overview," Version 1.1, Liberty Alliance Project (14 December 2004). http://www.projectliberty.org/specs
- [LibertyIDWSFGuide] Weitzel, David, eds. (26 April 2004). "Liberty ID-WSF Impelmentation Guideline," Draft
   version 1.0-08, Liberty Alliance Project *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs/*
- [LibertyIDEP] Kellomäki, Sampo, Lockhart, Rob, eds. "Liberty ID-SIS Employee Profile Service Specification,"
   Version 1.1, Liberty Alliance Project (29 September, 2005). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs*
- [LibertyIDPP] Kellomäki, Sampo, Lockhart, Rob, eds. "Liberty ID-SIS Personal Profile Service Specification,"
   Version 1.1, Liberty Alliance Project (29 September, 2005). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs*
- [LibertyIDPPGuide] Kellomäki, Sampo, Lockhart, Rob, eds. "Liberty ID-SIS Personal Profile Service Implementation
   Guidelines," Version 1.1, Liberty Alliance Project (29 September, 2005). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs*
- [LibertyDST] "Liberty ID-WSF Data Services Template Specification," Version 1.1, Liberty Alliance Project (14
   December 2004). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs* Kainulainen, Jukka, Ranganathan, Aravindan, eds.
- [LibertyDisco] Sergent, Jonathan, eds. "Liberty ID-WSF Discovery Service Specification," Version 1.2, Liberty
   Alliance Project (12 December 2004). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs*
- [LibertyReg] Kemp, John, eds. "Liberty Enumeration Registry Governance," Version 1.1, Liberty Alliance Project (14
   December, 2004). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs*
- 171[LibertyProtSchema] Cantor, Scott, Kemp, John, eds. "Liberty ID-FF Protocols and Schema Specification," Version1721.2-errata-v3.0, Liberty Alliance Project (14 December 2004). http://www.projectliberty.org/specs
- [LibertyInteract] Aarts, Robert, eds. "Liberty ID-WSF Interaction Service Specification," Version 1.1, Liberty Alliance
   Project (14 December 2004). *http://www.projectliberty.org/specs*
- <sup>175</sup> [LDAP] Wahl, M., Howes, T., Kille, S., eds. (December 1997). "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (Version 3), <sup>176</sup> ," RFC2251, Internet Engineering Task Force *http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2251.txt [August 2003]*.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., eds. "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," RFC 2119, The Internet
   Engineering Task Force (March 1997). *http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt* [March 1997].
- [XPATH] Clark , J., DeRose , S., eds. (16 November 1999). "XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0 ,"
   Recommendation, W3C *http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath [August 2003]*.